arXiv:1507.03083v2 [math.AP] 24 Nov 2015

LOCAL EXISTENCE OF STRONG SOLUTIONS TO THE $k-\varepsilon$ MODEL EQUATIONS FOR TURBULENT FLOWS

Baoquan Yuan*and Guoquan Qin
School of Mathematics and Information Science,
Henan Polytechnic University, Henan, 454000, China.
(bqyuan@hpu.edu.cn, qingguoquan@163.com)

Abstract

In this paper, we are concerned with the local existence of strong solutions to the $k-\varepsilon$ model equations for turbulent flows in a bounded domain $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^3$. We prove the existence of unique local strong solutions under the assumption that turbulent kinetic energy and the initial density both have lower bounds away from zero.

MSC(2000): 35Q35, 76F60, 76N10.

Key words: $k-\varepsilon$ model equations, strong solutions, local well-posedness.

1 Introduction

Turbulence is a natural phenomenon which occurs inevitably when the Reynolds number of flows becomes high enough(10^6 or more). In this paper, we consider the $k-\varepsilon$ model equations [1, 16] for turbulent flows in a bounded domain $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^3$ with smooth boundary,

$$\rho_t+\nabla\cdot(\rho u)=0, \tag{1.1}$$

$$(\rho u)_t+\nabla\cdot(\rho u\otimes u)-\Delta u-\nabla(\nabla\cdot u)+\nabla p=-\frac{2}{3}\nabla(\rho k), \tag{1.2}$$

$$(\rho h)_t+\nabla\cdot(\rho uh)-\Delta h=p_t+u\cdot\nabla p+S_k, \tag{1.3}$$

$$(\rho k)_t+\nabla\cdot(\rho uk)-\Delta k=G-\rho\varepsilon, \tag{1.4}$$

$$(\rho\varepsilon)_t+\nabla\cdot(\rho u\varepsilon)-\Delta\varepsilon=\frac{C_1G\varepsilon}{k}-\frac{C_2\rho\varepsilon^2}{k}, \tag{1.5}$$

$$(\rho,u,h,k,\varepsilon)(x,0)=(\rho_0(x),u_0(x),h_0(x),k_0(x),\varepsilon_0(x)), \tag{1.6}$$

$$\left(u\cdot\overrightarrow{n},h,\frac{\partial k}{\partial\overrightarrow{n}},\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial\overrightarrow{n}}\right)|_{\partial\Omega}=(0,0,0,0), \tag{1.7}$$

with

$$S_k=\left[\mu\left(\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x_j}+\frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x_i}\right)-\frac{2}{3}\delta_{ij}\frac{\partial u^k}{\partial x_k}\right]\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x_j}+\frac{\mu_t}{\rho^2}\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j}\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial x_j}, \tag{1.8}$$

---

*Corresponding Author: B. Yuan

$$G = \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x_j}\left[\mu_e\left(\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x_i}\right) - \frac{2}{3}\delta_{ij}\left(\rho k + \mu_e \frac{\partial u^k}{\partial x_k}\right)\right], \tag{1.9}$$

$$p = \rho^\gamma, \tag{1.10}$$

where $\delta_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$, $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$, and μ, μ_t, μ_e, C_1 and C_2 are five positive constants satisfying $\mu + \mu_t = \mu_e$, and $\overrightarrow{n}$ is the unit outward normal to $\partial\Omega$.

The equations (1.1)-(1.10) are derived from combining the effect of turbulence on time-averaged Navier-Stokes equations with the $k - \varepsilon$ model equations. The unknown functions ρ, u, h, k and ε denote the density, velocity, total enthalpy, turbulent kinetic energy and the rate of viscous dissipation of turbulent flows, respectively. The expression of the pressure p has been simplified here, which indeed has no bad effect on our study.

In partial differential equations, $k - \varepsilon$ equations belong to the compressible ones. In this regard, we will refer to the classical compressible Navier-Stokes equations and compressible MHD equations, which are also research mainstreams, to carry out our study.

For compressible isentropic Navier-Stokes equations, the first question provoking our interest is the existence of the weak solutions. P. L. Lions [14, 15] proved the global existence of weak solutions under the condition that $\gamma > \frac{3n}{n+2}$, where γ is the same as in (1.10) and n is the dimension of space. Later, Feireisl [7, 8] improved his result to $\gamma > \frac{n}{2}$. The condition satisfied by γ is to prove the existence of renormalized solutions, which was introduced by DiPerna and Lions [6]. When the initial data are general small perturbations of non-vacuum resting state, Hoff [10] proved the global existence of weak solutions provided $\gamma > 1$. The existence of strong solutions is another problem provoking our interest in the research of Navier-Stokes equations. It has been proved that the density will be away from vacuum at least in a small time provided the initial density is positive. If the initial data have better regularity, the compressible isentropic Navier-Stokes equations will admit unique local strong solutions under various boundary conditions [2, 3, 4, 19]. However, when initial vacuum is allowed, it was shown recently in [2] that the isentropic ones will have local strong solutions in the case that some compatibility conditions are satisfied initially. H. J. Choe and H. S. Kim [5] obtained the unique local strong solutions for full compressible polytropic Navier-Stokes equations under the similar condition as in [2]. In [5], the technic the authors used is mainly the standard iteration argument and the key point of their success is the estimate for the L^2 norm of the gradient of pressure. In the process of studying the condition of local solutions becoming global ones, Z. P. Xin [20] proved that the smooth solutions will blow up in finite time when initial vacuum is allowed.

As for compressible MHD equations, the research directions, which mainly contain first the existence of weak and strong solutions and second the condition of weak solutions becoming strong or even classical ones and the local becoming global ones, are similar to that of Navier-Stokes equations. For example, Hu and Wang [11, 12, 13] obtained the local existence of weak solutions to the compressible isentropic MHD equations. Rozanova [17] proved the local existence of classical solutions to the compressible barotropic MHD equations provided both the mass and energy are finite. J. S. Fan and W. H. Yu in [9] proved the existence and uniqueness of strong solutions to the full compressible MHD equations. The method used by J. S. Fan and W. H. Yu [9] is similar to that in [5], for example, they are both dependent on the standard iteration argument and the estimate for the L^2 norm of the gradient of pressure.

Under the the hypothesis of the existence of local-in-time smooth solution, the authors of [1] prove the existence of small data smooth solution in $\mathbb{R}^3$. In this paper, we consider the local-in-time existence of strong solutions to the $k-\varepsilon$ model equations (1.1)-(1.10) in a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. Our method is similar to that in [9] and [5]. However, in the process of applying the method to $k - \varepsilon$ model equations, we find that the regularity of the solutions should be higher, which is induced by higher nonlinearity than compressible Navier-Stokes equations and compressible MHD equations, than that in [9] and [5]. In fact, when we make the difference of the $n - th$ and the $(n + 1) - th$ of equation (2.4) and integrating the result, it inevitably comes out the term $\int \partial_j\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\partial_j\rho^{n+1}\cdot\overline{h}^{n+1}$. Therefore, we have to use integration by parts, which leads to two terms as $\int \overline{\rho}^{n+1}\partial_j\partial_j\rho^{n+1}\cdot\overline{h}^{n+1}$ and $\int \overline{\rho}^{n+1}\partial_j\rho^{n+1}\cdot\partial_j\overline{h}^{n+1}$. Then, by Hölder and Young's inequalities, it turns out that $\|\nabla^2\rho^{n+1}\|_{L^3}$ and $\|\nabla\rho^{n+1}\|_{L^\infty}$ should be bounded. Thus, we need $\|\rho\|_{H^3}$ be bounded for a priori estimates. Therefore, from the mass equation enough regularity of the velocity field should be imposed. Moreover, due to the strong-coupling property of $k-\varepsilon$ equations, we need corresponding high regularity of unknown functions k and ε.

In a word, the high nonlinearity of $k-\varepsilon$ equations leads to the necessity of high regularity of some unknown functions and thus leads to much difficulties for the a priori estimates. Besides, physically, when the turbulent kinetic energy k vanishes, the turbulence will disappear and the $k-\varepsilon$ model equations will degenerate into the Navier-Stokes equations, therefore, without loss of generality, we assume throughout this paper that the turbulent kinetic energy k has a positive lower bound away from zero , namely, $0 < m < k$ with m a constant.

To conclude this introduction, we give the outline of the rest of this paper: In section 2, we consider a linearized problem of the $k-\varepsilon$ equations and derive some local-in-time estimates for the solutions of the linearized problem. In section 3, we prove the existence theorem of the local strong solutions of the original nonlinear problem.

2 A priori estimates for a linearized problem

Using density equation (1.1), we could change (1.1)-(1.10) into the following equivalent form :

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + \nabla\cdot(\rho u) = 0, \\ \rho u_t + \rho u\cdot\nabla u - \Delta u - \nabla \mathrm{div} u + \nabla p = -\frac{2}{3}\nabla(\rho k), \\ \rho h_t + \rho u\cdot\nabla h - \Delta h = p_t + u\cdot\nabla p + S_k, \\ \rho k_t + \rho u\cdot\nabla k - \Delta k = G - \rho\varepsilon, \\ \rho\varepsilon_t + \rho u\cdot\nabla\varepsilon - \Delta\varepsilon = \frac{C_1 G\varepsilon}{k} - \frac{C_2\rho\varepsilon^2}{k}, \\ (\rho,u,h,k,\varepsilon)(x,0) = (\rho_0(x),u_0(x),h_0(x),k_0(x),\varepsilon_0(x)), \\ (u\cdot\overrightarrow{n}, h, \frac{\partial k}{\partial\overrightarrow{n}}, \frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial\overrightarrow{n}})|_{\partial\Omega} = (0,0,0,0). \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Then, we consider the following linearized problem of (2.1):

$$\rho_t + \nabla\cdot(\rho v) = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\rho u_t + \rho v\cdot\nabla u - \Delta u - \nabla\mathrm{div} u + \nabla p = -\frac{2}{3}\nabla(\rho\pi), \tag{2.3}$$

$$\rho h_t + \rho v\cdot\nabla h - \Delta h = p_t + u\cdot\nabla p + S_k^{'}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\rho k_t + \rho v\cdot\nabla k - \Delta k = G^{'} - \rho\theta, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\rho\varepsilon_t + \rho v\cdot\nabla\varepsilon - \Delta\varepsilon = \frac{C_1 G^{'}\theta}{\pi} - \frac{C_2\rho\theta^2}{\pi}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$(\rho,v,h,\pi,\theta)(x,0) = (\rho_0(x),u_0(x),h_0(x),k_0(x),\varepsilon_0(x)), \tag{2.7}$$

$$\left(v\cdot\overrightarrow{n}, h, \frac{\partial\pi}{\partial\overrightarrow{n}}, \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial\overrightarrow{n}}\right)|_{\partial\Omega} = (0,0,0,0). \tag{2.8}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} S_k^{'} &= \left[\mu\left(\frac{\partial v^i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial v^j}{\partial x_i}\right) - \frac{2}{3}\delta_{ij}\frac{\partial v^k}{\partial x_k}\right]\frac{\partial v^i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\mu_t}{\rho^2}\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j}\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial x_j}, \\ G^{'} &= \frac{\partial v^i}{\partial x_j}\left[\mu_e\left(\frac{\partial v^i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial v^j}{\partial x_i}\right) - \frac{2}{3}\delta_{ij}\left(\rho\pi + \mu_e\frac{\partial v^k}{\partial x_k}\right)\right], \end{aligned}$$

where v, π and θ are known quantities on $(0,T_1)\times\Omega$ with $T_1>0$.

Here we also impose the following regularity conditions on the initial data:

$$\begin{cases} 0<m<\rho_0,\ \ \rho_0\in H^3(\Omega), \\ u_0\in H^3(\Omega), \\ (h_0,k_0,\varepsilon_0)\in H^2(\Omega), \\ \left(u_0\cdot\overrightarrow{n},h_0,\frac{\partial k_0}{\partial\overrightarrow{n}},\frac{\partial\varepsilon_0}{\partial\overrightarrow{n}}\right)\Big|_{\partial\Omega}=(0,0,0,0), \\ 0<m<k_0. \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

For the known quantities v,π,θ, we assume that $v(0)=u_0,\pi(0)=k_0,\theta(0)=\varepsilon_0$ and

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{0\le t\le T_2}(\|v\|_{H^1}+\|\pi\|_{H^1}+\|\theta\|_{H^1}) \\ +\int_0^{T_2}(\|\pi\|_{H^3}^2+\|v_t\|_{H^1}^2+\|\pi_t\|_{H^1}^2+\|\theta_t\|_{H^1}^2)\mathrm{d}t\le c_1, \\ \sup_{0\le t\le T_2}\|v\|_{H^2}\le c_2, \\ \sup_{0\le t\le T_2}\|v\|_{H^3}\le c_3, \\ \int_0^{T_2}\|v\|_{H^4}^2\mathrm{d}t\le c_4, \\ \sup_{0\le t\le T_2}\|\pi\|_{H^2}\le c_5, \\ \sup_{0\le t\le T_2}\|\theta\|_{H^2}\le c_6 \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

for some fixed constants c_i satisfying $1<c_0<c_i(i=1,2,\cdots,6)$ and some time $T_2>0$. Where

$$c_0=2+\|(\rho_0,u_0)\|_{H^3}+\|(h_0,k_0,\varepsilon_0)\|_{H^2}.$$

And for simplicity, we set another small time T as $T{=}\min\{c_0^{-6\gamma-16}c_1^{-10}c_2^{-8}c_3^{-8}c_4^{-2}c_5^{-2}c_6^{-4},T_1,T_2\}$ and all of the T in section 2 are defined as this.

Remark 2.1. *Here it should be emphasized that throughout this paper, C denotes a generic positive constant which is only dependent on m,γ and $|\Omega|$, but independent of c_i $(i=0,1,2,\cdots,6)$.*

Remark 2.2. *From the physical viewpoint, we assume that the turbulent kinetic energy k has a positive lower bound away from zero , namely, $0<m<k$ with m a constant. We do not know whether $0<m<k$ holds afterwards if its initial value $k_0>m$.*

Next, we would like to prove the following local existence theorem of the linearized system (2.2)-(2.6).

Theorem 2.1. *There exists a unique strong solution (ρ,u,h,k,ε) to the linearized problem (2.2)-(2.8) and (2.9) in $[0,T]$ satisfying the estimates (2.99) and (2.100) as well as the regularity*

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho\in C(0,T;H^3),\rho_t\in C(0,T;H^1),u\in C(0,T;H^3)\cap L^2(0,T;H^4), \\ &u_t\in L^2(0,T;H^1),k\in C(0,T;H^2)\cap L^2(0,T;H^3),k_t\in L^2(0,T;H^1), \\ &\varepsilon\in C(0,T;H^2),\varepsilon_t\in L^2(0,T;H^1),h\in C(0,T;H^2),h_t\in L^2(0,T;H^1), \\ &(\sqrt{\rho}u_t,\sqrt{\rho}k_t,\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t,\sqrt{\rho}h_t)\in L^\infty(0,T;L^2). \end{aligned}$$

In the following part, we decompose the proof of Theorem 2.1 into some lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *There exists a unique strong solution ρ to the linear transport problem (2.2) and (2.9) such that*

$$\rho\ge\frac{m}{e},\ \ \|\rho\|_{H^3(\Omega)}\le Cc_0,\ \ \|\rho_t\|_{H^1(\Omega)}\le Cc_0c_2 \tag{2.11}$$

for $0\le t\le T$.

Proof. First, applying the particle trajectory method to equation (2.3), we easily deduce

$$\rho \geq \rho_0 \exp\left(- \int_0^T \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \mathrm{d}t \right) \geq \rho_0 \exp(-c_3 T) \geq \frac{\rho_0}{e} \geq \frac{m}{e}$$

and thus

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \leq \frac{e}{m} \leq C.$$

Second, by simple calculation, we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|\rho\|_{H^3} \leq C\|v\|_{H^3}\|\rho\|_{H^3} + C\|\nabla^4 v\|_{L^2},$$

applying Gronwall and Hölder's inequalities, one gets

$$\|\rho\|_{H^3} \leq \left[\exp\left(C\int_0^t \|v\|_{H^3} \mathrm{d}t \right) \right] \left(\|\rho_0\|_{H^3} + C\int_0^t \|v\|_{H^4} \mathrm{d}t \right) \leq Cc_0$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T$.

Next, from equation (2.2), one obtains

$$\|\rho_t\|_{H^1} = \|\nabla \cdot (\rho v)\|_{H^1} \leq C\|\rho\|_{H^3}\|v\|_{H^2} \leq Cc_0 c_2$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T$.

Thus, we complete the proof of Lemma 2.1. ☐

Next, we estimate the velocity field u.

Lemma 2.2. *There exists a unique strong solution u to the initial boundary value problem (2.3) and (2.9) such that*

$$\|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq Cc_0^{5+2\gamma}, \ \|u\|_{H^2} \leq Cc_0^{\frac{5}{2}+3\gamma} c_1^2, \tag{2.12}$$

$$\|u\|_{H^3} \leq Cc_0^{\frac{13}{2}+3\gamma} c_1^4 c_2 c_5, \ \int_0^t \|u\|_{H^4}^2 ds \leq Cc_0^{9+6\gamma} c_1^5 c_2^2 \tag{2.13}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T$.

Proof. We only need to prove the estimates. Differentiating equation (2.3) with respect to t, then multiplying both sides of the result by u_t and integrating over Ω, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int \rho u_t^2 \mathrm{d}x + \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathrm{div} u_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&= -\int \rho_t v \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t - \int \rho v_t \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t - 2\int \rho v \cdot \nabla u_t \cdot u_t - \int \nabla p_t \cdot u_t - \frac{2}{3}\int [\nabla(\rho\pi)]_t \cdot u_t \\
&= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

where we have used equation (2.2) and integration by parts. We will estimate I_i $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, 5)$ item by item.

First, because ρ has lower bound away from zero, we easily deduce $\|u_t\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2}$. Therefore, using Hölder, Sobolev and Young's inequalities and (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\leq C\|v\|_{L^\infty}\|\rho_t\|_{L^3}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|u_t\|_{L^6} \leq C\|v\|_{L^\infty}\|\rho_t\|_{L^3}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}(\|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}) \\
&\leq Cc_0^2 c_2^4 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{8}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

$$I_3 \le C\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} \le Cc_0c_2^2\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{8}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{2.16}$$

$$I_2 \le C\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|v_t\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} \le C\eta^{-1}c_0\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}^2 + \eta\|v_t\|_{H^1}^2\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{2.17}$$

where $\eta > 0$ is a small number to be determined later.

Next, to evaluate $\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}^2$ in (2.17), we can first use Sobolev's interpolation inequality to get

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}^2 \le C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^6} \le C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{H^1}. \tag{2.18}$$

Then, applying the standard elliptic regularity result to equation (2.3) and using (2.18), we have

$$\|\nabla u\|_{H^1} \le Cc_0^\gamma(\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} + \|v\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla u\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\rho\|_{L^4}\|\pi\|_{L^4} + \|\nabla\pi\|_{L^2}),$$

thus Young's inequality and (2.10) yield

$$\|\nabla u\|_{H^1} \le Cc_0^{2\gamma}(\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} + c_1^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + c_0c_1). \tag{2.19}$$

Combining (2.17), (2.18) and (2.19) and using Young's inequality, we get

$$I_2 \quad \le C\eta^{-1}c_0^{2\gamma+1}(\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + c_1^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + c_0^2c_1^2) + \eta\|v_t\|_{H^1}^2\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{2.20}$$

By integration by parts, we have

$$I_4 = \int p_t\mathrm{div}u_t \le Cc_0^{\gamma-1}\|\rho_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} \le Cc_0^{2\gamma}c_2^2 + \frac{1}{8}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{2.21}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 \quad &= \frac{2}{3}\int \rho_t\pi\nabla\cdot u_t - \frac{2}{3}\int \pi_t\nabla\rho\cdot u_t - \frac{2}{3}\int \rho\nabla\pi_t\cdot u_t \\ &\le \quad C\|\rho_t\|_{L^3}\|\pi\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} + Cc_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^3}\|\pi_t\|_{L^6}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} + Cc_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\pi_t\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} \\ &\le \quad Cc_0^2c_1^2c_2^2 + C\eta^{-1}c_0^3 + C\eta\|\pi_t\|_{H^1}^2\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{8}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

On the other hand, we easily have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int|\nabla u|^2 = 2\int\nabla u\cdot\nabla u_t \le \frac{1}{8}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{2.23}$$

and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int|u|^2 \le Cc_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}\|u\|_{L^2} \le Cc_0\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|u\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{2.24}$$

Combining (2.14)-(2.16) and (2.20)-(2.24), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{H^1}^2) + \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\le C(c_0^2c_2^4 + \eta^{-1}c_0^{2\gamma+1}c_1^2 + \eta\|\pi_t\|_{H^1}^2 + \eta\|v_t\|_{H^1}^2)(\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{H^1}^2) \\ &+C(c_0^{2\gamma}c_1^2c_2^2 + \eta^{-1}c_0^{2\gamma+3}c_1^2), \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

setting $\eta = \frac{1}{c_1}$ and using Gronwall's inequality, we derive

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \int_0^t\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2\mathrm{d}s \le Cc_0^{5+2\gamma} \tag{2.26}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T$, where we have used the fact that $\lim_{t\to 0}(\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{H^1}^2) \leq Cc_0^{5+2\gamma}$.

Next, by (2.19) and (2.26), we deduce

$$\|\nabla u\|_{H^1} \leq Cc_0^{\frac{5}{2}+3\gamma}c_1^2, \tag{2.27}$$

which implies (2.12) by (2.26).

Next, we will estimate $\int_0^t \|u\|_{H^4}^2 \mathrm{d}t$. By the standard elliptic regularity result of equation (2.3), we have

$$\|\nabla^4 u\|_{L^2} \leq \|\rho u_t\|_{H^2} + \|\rho v\cdot\nabla u\|_{H^2} + \|\nabla p\|_{H^2} + \|\frac{2}{3}\nabla(\rho\pi)\|_{H^2}. \tag{2.28}$$

By simple calculation, the first term of the right hand side of (2.28) can be controlled as

$$\|\rho u_t\|_{H^2} \leq C(\|\rho u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\rho\|_{H^2}\|u_t\|_{H^2}) \leq Cc_0\|u_t\|_{H^2}. \tag{2.29}$$

In order to estimate $\|\nabla^2 u_t\|_{L^2}$, differentiating equation (2.3) with respect to t yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta u_t + \nabla \mathrm{div} u_t \qquad\qquad (2.30)\\ &= \rho_t u_t + \rho u_{tt} + \rho_t v\cdot\nabla u + \rho v_t\cdot\nabla u + \rho v\cdot\nabla u_t + \nabla p_t + \frac{2}{3}(\nabla\rho_t\pi + \rho_t\nabla\pi + \nabla\rho\pi_t + \rho\nabla\pi_t), \end{aligned}$$

applying the standard elliptic regularity result to (2.30) and using (2.26), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\nabla^2 u_t\|_{L^2} \leq C(\|\rho_t\|_{L^4}\|u_t\|_{L^4} + \|\rho u_{tt}\|_{L^2} + \|\rho_t\|_{L^4}\|v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u\|_{L^4} + \|\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|v_t\|_{L^4}\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}\\ &+\|v\|_{L^\infty}\|u_t\|_{H^1} + \|\rho\|_{H^2}^\gamma\|\rho_t\|_{H^1} + \|\pi\|_{L^\infty}\|\rho_t\|_{H^1} + \|\rho_t\|_{L^4}\|\nabla\pi\|_{L^4}\\ &+\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^4}\|\pi_t\|_{L^4} + \|\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\pi_t\|_{L^2})\\ &\leq C(\|\rho u_{tt}\|_{L^2} + c_0^{\frac{7}{2}+3\gamma}c_1^2c_2^2c_5 + c_0^{\frac{7}{2}+3\gamma}c_1^2\|v_t\|_{H^1} + c_0c_2\|u_t\|_{H^1} + c_0\|\pi_t\|_{H^1}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

therefore, the key point is to estimate $\|\rho u_{tt}\|_{L^2}$. Because we have the fact $\|\rho u_{tt}\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\sqrt{\rho}u_{tt}\|_{L^2}$, we could first estimate $\|\sqrt{\rho}u_{tt}\|_{L^2}$ as follows.

Multiplying both sides of (2.30) by u_{tt} and integrating the result over Ω yield

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \rho u_{tt}^2 \mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\mathrm{div} u_t\|_{L^2}^2\\ &= -\int \rho_t u_t\cdot u_{tt} - \int \rho_t v\cdot\nabla u\cdot u_{tt} - \int \rho v_t\cdot\nabla u\cdot u_{tt} - \int \rho v\cdot\nabla u_t\cdot u_{tt} - \int \nabla p_t\cdot u_{tt}\\ &-\frac{2}{3}\int(\pi\nabla\rho_t + \rho_t\nabla\pi + \pi_t\nabla\rho + \rho\nabla\pi_t)\cdot u_{tt} = J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4 + J_5 + J_6. \end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

Using Hölder, Sobolev and Young's inequalities and (2.10) and (2.26), we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\leq Cc_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\rho_t\|_{L^3}\|u_t\|_{L^6}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_{tt}\|_{L^2} \leq Cc_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\rho_t\|_{L^3}(\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2})\|\sqrt{\rho}u_{tt}\|_{L^2}\\ &\leq Cc_0^3c_2^2\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + Cc_0^{8+2\gamma}c_2^2 + \frac{1}{18}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

$$J_2 \leq Cc_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_{tt}\|_{L^2}\|\rho_t\|_{L^3}\|v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u\|_{L^6} \leq Cc_0^{8+6\gamma}c_1^4c_2^4 + \frac{1}{18}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{2.34}$$

$$J_3 \leq Cc_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_{tt}\|_{L^2}\|v_t\|_{L^3}\|\nabla u\|_{L^6} \leq Cc_0^{6+6\gamma}c_1^4\|v_t\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{1}{18}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{2.35}$$

$$J_4 \le Cc_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|v\|_{L^\infty}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_{tt}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} \le Cc_0c_2^2\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{18}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{2.36}$$

$$J_5 \le Cc_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_{tt}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla p_t\|_{L^2} \le Cc_0^{2\gamma+1}c_2^2 + \frac{1}{18}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{2.37}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&J_6 \le Cc_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\pi\|_{L^\infty}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_{tt}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\rho_t\|_{L^2} + Cc_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_{tt}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\pi\|_{L^4}\|\rho_t\|_{L^4}\\
&+Cc_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_{tt}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|\pi_t\|_{L^2} + Cc_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_{tt}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\pi_t\|_{L^2}\\
&\le Cc_0^3c_2^2c_5^2 + Cc_0^3\|\pi_t\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{2}{9}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

inserting (2.33)-(2.38) to (2.32), then integrating the result over $(0,t)$, we derive

$$\int_0^t\int_\Omega \rho u_{tt}^2 dx\mathrm{d}t + \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 \le Cc_0^{6+6\gamma}c_1^5c_2^2, \tag{2.39}$$

where we have used equation (2.3) to get $\lim_{t\to 0}\|\nabla u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \le Cc_0^{2\gamma+4}$.

So, combining (2.29), (2.31) and (2.39), we obtain

$$\int_0^t \|\rho u_t\|_{H^2}^2 \le Cc_0^{9+6\gamma}c_1^5c_2^2. \tag{2.40}$$

In the following, we shall estimate the rest terms of the inequality (2.28).

For the second term of the inequality (2.28), direct calculation yields

$$\|\rho v\cdot\nabla u\|_{H^2} \le C\|\rho\|_{H^2}\|v\|_{H^2}\|u\|_{H^3} \le Cc_0c_2\|u\|_{H^3}, \tag{2.41}$$

therefore, we have to evaluate $\|u\|_{H^3}$. In fact, Applying the standard elliptic regularity result to equation (2.3), we obtain

$$\|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2} \le C(\|\rho u_t\|_{H^1} + \|\rho v\cdot\nabla u\|_{H^1} + \|\nabla p\|_{H^1} + \|\nabla(\rho\pi)\|_{H^1}), \tag{2.42}$$

we could estimate the right hand side of (2.42) item by item.

First, from (2.26), we have $\|u_t\|_{L^2} \le Cc_0^{\frac{5}{2}+\gamma}$, thus

$$\|\rho u_t\|_{H^1} \le Cc_0\|u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|u_t\|_{L^2} + Cc_0\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} \le Cc_0^{\frac{7}{2}+\gamma} + Cc_0\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}. \tag{2.43}$$

Second, using Sobolev's interpolation inequality and Young's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\rho v\cdot\nabla u\|_{H^1} \le C(\|\rho v\cdot\nabla u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla(\rho v\cdot\nabla u)\|_{L^2})\\
&\le C(c_0\|v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + c_0\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}}\\
&+c_0\|v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}) \le Cc_0^{\frac{13}{2}+3\gamma}c_1^4c_2 + \frac{3}{4}\|u\|_{H^3}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.44}$$

Third, due to (2.11), we easily derive

$$\|\nabla p\|_{H^1} \le Cc_0^2. \tag{2.45}$$

Last, by simple calculation, one gets

$$\|\nabla(\rho\pi)\|_{H^1} \le C\|\rho\|_{H^3}\|\pi\|_{H^2} \le Cc_0c_5. \tag{2.46}$$

Combining (2.39) and (2.42)-(2.46), we deduce

$$\|u\|_{H^3} \le C c_0^{\frac{13}{2}+3\gamma} c_1^4 c_2 c_5. \tag{2.47}$$

Next, by simple calculation, the third and fourth terms on the right hand side of (2.28) can be estimated as

$$\|\nabla p\|_{H^2} \le C c_0^3, \ \|\nabla(\rho\pi)\|_{H^2} \le C c_0 \|\pi\|_{H^3}. \tag{2.48}$$

Combining (2.26), (2.28), (2.40), (2.41) and (2.47)-(2.48), one deduce

$$\int_0^t \|u\|_{H^4}^2 \mathrm{d}t \le C c_0^{9+6\gamma} c_1^5 c_2^2, \tag{2.49}$$

for $0 \le t \le T$.

Thus, we complete the proof of Lemma 2.2. $\square$

In the following part, we estimate the turbulent kinetic energy k.

Lemma 2.3. *There exists a unique strong solution k to the initial boundary value problem (2.5) and (2.9) such that*

$$\|\sqrt{\rho} k_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|k\|_{H^1}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla k_t\|_{L^2}^2 ds \le C c_0^5, \tag{2.50}$$

$$\|k\|_{H^2} \le C c_0^{\frac{7}{2}} c_1 c_2^2, \ \int_0^t \|k\|_{H^3}^2 ds \le C c_0^7 \tag{2.51}$$

for $0 \le t \le T$.

Proof. We only need to prove the estimates. Differentiating equation (2.5) with respect to t, then multiplying both sides of the result equation by k_t and integrating over Ω, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\sqrt{\rho} k_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla k_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= -\int \rho_t v \cdot \nabla k \cdot k_t - \int \rho v_t \cdot \nabla k \cdot k_t - 2\int \rho v \cdot \nabla k_t \cdot k_t + \int G_t^{'} \cdot k_t \\ &- \int \rho_t \theta \cdot k_t - \int \rho \theta_t \cdot k_t = \sum_{i=1}^{6} K_i, \end{aligned} \tag{2.52}$$

we could evaluate K_i $(i = 1, \cdots, 6)$ as follows.

First, using similar method of deriving (2.15), (2.20), (2.16), respectively, one has

$$K_1 \le C c_0^2 c_2^4 \|\nabla k\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\sqrt{\rho} k_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{10}\|\nabla k_t\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{2.53}$$

$$K_2 \le C\eta^{-1} c_0^{2\gamma+1}(\|\sqrt{\rho} k_t\|_{L^2}^2 + c_1^2\|\nabla k\|_{L^2}^2 + c_0^2 c_1^2 c_2^4) + \eta\|v_t\|_{H^1}^2 \|\sqrt{\rho} k_t\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{2.54}$$

$$K_3 \le C c_0 c_2^2 \|\sqrt{\rho} k_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{10}\|\nabla k_t\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{2.55}$$

Next, differentiating $G^{'}$ with respect to t and inserting the result thus obtained to K_4 yield

$$\begin{aligned}
K_4 &\le C\int |\nabla v_t||\nabla v||k_t| + C\int |\rho||\pi||\nabla v_t||k_t| + C\int |\rho_t||\pi||\nabla v||k_t| + C\int |\rho||\pi_t||\nabla v||k_t| \\
&\le Cc_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}k_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla v_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} + Cc_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\pi\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla v_t\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho}k_t\|_{L^2} \\
&+C\|\pi\|_{L^\infty}\|\rho_t\|_{L^3}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}\|k_t\|_{L^6} + Cc_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}k_t\|_{L^2}\|\pi_t\|_{L^6}\|\nabla v\|_{L^3} \qquad (2.56)\\
&\le C\eta^{-1}c_0c_3^2c_5^2 + Cc_0^2c_1^2c_2^2c_5^2 + C\|\sqrt{\rho}k_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\eta(\|v_t\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\pi_t\|_{H^1}^2)\|\sqrt{\rho}k_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{10}\|\nabla k_t\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Last, direct calculation leads to

$$K_5 \le \|\rho_t\|_{L^3}\|\theta\|_{L^2}\|k_t\|_{L^6} \le Cc_0^2c_1^2c_2^2 + C\|\sqrt{\rho}k_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{10}\|\nabla k_t\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{2.57}$$

$$K_6 \le Cc_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}k_t\|_{L^2}\|\theta_t\|_{L^2} \le C\eta^{-1}c_0 + \eta\|\theta_t\|_{L^2}^2\|\sqrt{\rho}k_t\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{2.58}$$

On the other hand, we easily get

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\nabla k\|_{L^2}^2 \le \frac{1}{10}\|\nabla k_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla k\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{2.59}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|k\|_{L^2}^2 \le Cc_0\|\sqrt{\rho}k_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|k\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{2.60}$$

Combining (2.52)-(2.60), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(\|\sqrt{\rho}k_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|k\|_{H^1}^2) + \|\nabla k_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\le C(c_0^2c_2^4 + \eta^{-1}c_0^{2\gamma+1}c_1^2 + \eta\|v_t\|_{H^1}^2 + \eta\|\pi_t\|_{H^1}^2 + \eta\|\theta_t\|_{L^2}^2)(\|\sqrt{\rho}k_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|k\|_{H^1}^2) \\
&+C(\eta^{-1}c_0^2c_1^2c_2^4c_3^2c_5^2 + c_0^2c_1^2c_2^2c_5^2), \qquad (2.61)
\end{aligned}$$

setting $\eta = c_1^{-1}$ and using Gronwall's inequality, we deduce

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}k_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|k\|_{H^1}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla k_t\|_{L^2}^2\mathrm{d}s \le Cc_0^5 \tag{2.62}$$

for $0 \le t \le T$, where we have used the fact that $\lim_{t\to 0}(\|\sqrt{\rho}k_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|k\|_{H^1}^2) \le Cc_0^5$.

Then, by the standard elliptic regularity result of equation (2.5) and using (2.62), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla k\|_{H^1} &\le Cc_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}k_t\|_{L^2} + Cc_0\|v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla k\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla v\|_{L^4}^2 \\
&+Cc_0\|\pi\|_{L^4}\|\nabla v\|_{L^4} + Cc_0\|\theta\|_{L^2} \le Cc_0^{\frac{7}{2}}c_1c_2^2, \qquad (2.63)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\nabla^2 k\|_{H^1} \le C(\|\rho k_t\|_{H^1} + \|\rho v\cdot\nabla k\|_{H^1} + \|G^{'}\|_{H^1} + \|\rho\theta\|_{H^1}). \tag{2.64}$$

To evaluate $\int_0^t \|k\|_{H^3}^2\mathrm{d}t$, we will estimate the right hand side of (2.64) item by item.

In fact, we derive by using (2.62) and (2.63) that

$$\|\rho k_t\|_{H^1} \le C(\|\rho k_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla(\rho k_t)\|_{L^2}) \le Cc_0^{\frac{7}{2}} + Cc_0\|\nabla k_t\|_{L^2}, \tag{2.65}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\rho v\cdot\nabla k\|_{H^1} \le C(\|\rho v\cdot\nabla k\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla(\rho v\cdot\nabla k)\|_{L^2}) \\
&\le C(c_0\|v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla k\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla k\|_{L^2} \\
&+c_0\|\nabla v\|_{L^4}\|\nabla k\|_{L^4} + c_0\|v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^2 k\|_{L^2}) \le Cc_0^{\frac{9}{2}}c_1c_2^3, \qquad (2.66)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|G^{'}\|_{H^1} \le C(\|\nabla v\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\nabla v\cdot\rho\cdot\pi\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla v\cdot\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla(\nabla v\cdot\rho\cdot\pi)\|_{L^2})\\
&\le C(\|\nabla v\|_{L^4}^2 + c_0\|\pi\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^4}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^4} + c_0\|\pi\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}\\
&+\|\pi\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2} + c_0\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\pi\|_{L^2}) \le Cc_0c_1c_2^2c_3c_5, \qquad (2.67)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\rho\theta\|_{H^1} \le C\|\rho\|_{H^3}\|\theta\|_{H^1} \le Cc_0c_1. \qquad (2.68)$$

Therefore, inserting (2.65)-(2.68) to (2.64) and integrating the result thus obtained over $(0,t)$, one gets

$$\int_0^t \|k\|_{H^3}^2 \mathrm{d}t \le Cc_0^7 \qquad (2.69)$$

for $0 \le t \le T$.

Combining (2.62), (2.63) and (2.69), we complete the proof of Lemma 2.3. $\square$

In the next part, we estimate the viscous dissipation rates of the turbulent flows ε.

Lemma 2.4. *There exists a unique strong solution ε to the initial boundary value problem (2.6) and (2.9) such that*

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}^2 ds \le Cc_0^5, \qquad (2.70)$$

$$\|\varepsilon\|_{H^2} \le Cc_0^{\frac{9}{2}}c_1^2c_2^2 \qquad (2.71)$$

for $0 \le t \le T$.

Proof. We only need to prove the estimates. Differentiating equation (2.6) with respect to t, then multiplying both sides of the result by ε_t and integrating over Ω, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}^2\\
&= -\int \rho_t v\cdot\nabla\varepsilon\cdot\varepsilon_t - \int \rho v_t\cdot\nabla\varepsilon\cdot\varepsilon_t - 2\int \rho v\cdot\nabla\varepsilon_t\cdot\varepsilon_t\\
&+\int \left(\frac{C_1G^{'}\theta}{\pi}\right)_t\cdot\varepsilon_t - \int\left(\frac{C_2\rho\theta^2}{\pi}\right)_t\cdot\varepsilon_t = \sum_{i=1}^5 E_i. \qquad (2.72)
\end{aligned}$$

We could evaluate E_4 and E_5 in the first place. Because π has upper and lower bound away from zero, direct calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&E_4 \le C\int(|G_t^{'}\theta| + |G^{'}\theta_t| + |G^{'}\theta\pi_t|)|\varepsilon_t|\\
&\le C\int(|\nabla v_t\cdot\nabla v| + |\rho_t\pi\nabla v| + |\rho\pi_t\nabla v| + |\rho\pi\nabla v_t|)|\theta||\varepsilon_t|\\
&+C\int(|\nabla v|^2 + |\rho\pi\nabla v|)|\theta_t||\varepsilon_t| + C\int(|\nabla v|^2 + |\rho\pi\nabla v|)|\theta||\pi_t||\varepsilon_t|\\
&\le Cc_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla v_t\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2} + Cc_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\pi\|_{L^\infty}\|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}\|\rho_t\|_{L^6}\|\nabla v\|_{L^6}\|\theta\|_{L^6}\\
&+Cc_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}\|\pi_t\|_{L^6}\|\nabla v\|_{L^6}\|\theta\|_{L^6} + Cc_0\|\pi\|_{L^\infty}\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla v_t\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}\\
&+C\|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}\|\theta_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}^2 + Cc_0\|\pi\|_{L^\infty}\|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}\|\theta_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\\
&+Cc_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}\|\pi_t\|_{L^6}\|\nabla v\|_{L^6}^2\|\theta\|_{L^\infty} + Cc_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\pi\|_{L^\infty}\|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}\|\pi_t\|_{L^6}\|\nabla v\|_{L^6}\|\theta\|_{L^6} \qquad (2.73)\\
&\le C\eta^{-1}c_0c_1^2c_2^4c_6^2c_3^4c_5^2 + Cc_0^4c_1^2c_2^4c_5^2 + C\eta(\|\nabla v_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\pi_t\|_{L^6}^2 + \|\theta_t\|_{L^2}^2)\|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}E_5 &\le C\int|\rho_t\theta^2\varepsilon_t|+C\int|\theta\theta_t\rho\varepsilon_t|+C\int|\rho\theta^2\pi_t\varepsilon_t|\\&\le C\|\rho_t\|_{L^3}\|\theta\|_{L^4}^2\|\varepsilon_t\|_{L^6}+Cc_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}\|\theta_t\|_{L^2}\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}+Cc_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}\|\pi_t\|_{L^2}\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2\\&\le C\eta^{-1}c_0c_6^4+Cc_0^2c_1^4c_2^2+C\|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}^2+C\eta(\|\theta_t\|_{L^2}^2+\|\pi_t\|_{L^2}^2)\|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{8}\|\nabla\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned}\tag{2.74}$$

Next, using an argument similar to that used in deriving (2.53), (2.54), (2.55), (2.60) and (2.59), respectively, one gets

$$E_1\le Cc_0^2c_2^4\|\nabla\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2+C\|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{10}\|\nabla\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}^2,\tag{2.75}$$

$$E_2\le C\eta^{-1}c_0^{2\gamma+1}(\|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}^2+c_1^2\|\nabla\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2+c_1^4c_2^4)+\eta\|v_t\|_{H^1}^2\|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}^2,\tag{2.76}$$

$$E_3\le Cc_0c_2^2\|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{10}\|\nabla\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}^2,\tag{2.77}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2\le C\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2+Cc_0\|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}^2,\tag{2.78}$$

and finally

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\nabla\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2\le\frac{1}{8}\|\nabla\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}^2+C\|\nabla\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2.\tag{2.79}$$

Combining (2.72)-(2.79), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(\|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}^2+\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2)+\|\nabla\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}^2\\&\le C(c_0^2c_2^4+\eta^{-1}c_0^{2\gamma+1}c_1^2+\eta\|v_t\|_{H^1}^2+\eta\|\theta_t\|_{H^1}^2+\eta\|\pi_t\|_{H^1}^2)(\|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}^2+\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2)\\&+C\eta^{-1}c_0c_1^4c_2^4c_6^4c_3^4c_5^2+Cc_0^4c_1^4c_2^4c_5^2,\end{aligned}\tag{2.80}$$

setting $\eta=c_1^{-1}$ and using Gronwall's inequality, one obtains

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}^2+\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2+\int_0^t\|\nabla\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}^2\mathrm{d}s\le Cc_0^5\tag{2.81}$$

for $0\le t\le T$, where we have used the fact that $\lim_{t\to 0}(\|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}^2+\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2)\le Cc_0^5$.

Next, applying the standard elliptic regularity result to equation (2.6) and using (2.81), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\nabla\varepsilon\|_{H^1}\le C(c_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}+c_0\|v\|_{L^6}\|\nabla\varepsilon\|_{L^3}+\|\nabla v\|_{L^6}^2\|\theta\|_{L^6}+c_0\|\nabla v\|_{L^6}\|\theta\|_{L^6}\|\pi\|_{L^6}+c_0\|\theta\|_{L^4}^2)\\&\le C(c_0^3c_2^2c_1^2+c_0c_1\|\nabla\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\varepsilon\|_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}}),\end{aligned}\tag{2.82}$$

therefore, by Young's inequality and (2.81), one deduce

$$\|\varepsilon\|_{H^2}\le Cc_0^{\frac{9}{2}}c_1^2c_2^2.$$

Thus, we complete the proof of Lemma 2.4. □

Finally, we estimate the total enthalpy h.

Lemma 2.5. *There exists a unique strong solution h to the initial boundary value problem(2.4) and (2.9) such that*

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h\|_{H^1}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla h_t\|_{L^2}^2 ds \le Cc_0^5, \tag{2.83}$$

$$\|h\|_{H^2} \le Cc_0^{\frac{7}{2}+\gamma}c_1^2c_2^2 \tag{2.84}$$

for $0 \le t \le T$.

Proof. We only need to prove the estimates. Differentiating equation (2.4) with respect to t, multiplying both sides of the result equation by h_t and integrating over Ω, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h\|_{H^1}^2) + \|\nabla h_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&= -\int \rho_t v \cdot \nabla h \cdot h_t - \int \rho v_t \cdot \nabla h \cdot h_t - 2\int \rho v \cdot \nabla h_t \cdot h_t + \int p_{tt} \cdot h_t \\
&+ \int u_t \cdot \nabla p \cdot h_t + \int u \cdot \nabla p_t \cdot h_t + \int S_{kt}^{'} \cdot h_t = \sum_{i=1}^{7} H_i.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.85}$$

Firstly, using similar method of deriving the estimates (2.15), (2.20) and (2.16), respectively, one has

$$H_1 \le Cc_0^2c_2^4\|\nabla h\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{20}\|\nabla h_t\|_{L^2}, \tag{2.86}$$

$$H_2 \le C\eta^{-1}c_0^{2\gamma+1}(c_0^7c_2^4 + \|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2}^2 + c_1^2\|\nabla h\|_{L^2}^2) + \eta\|v_t\|_{H^1}^2\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{2.87}$$

$$H_3 \le Cc_0c_2^2\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{20}\|\nabla h_t\|_{L^2}. \tag{2.88}$$

Secondly, differentiating equation (2.2) with respect to t yields

$$\rho_{tt} = -\rho_t\nabla \cdot v + \rho\nabla \cdot v_t + v_t \cdot \nabla\rho + v \cdot \nabla\rho_t. \tag{2.89}$$

Therefore, by direct calculation and using (2.89), we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
H_4 &= \int [\gamma(\gamma-1)\rho^{\gamma-2}\rho_t^2 - \gamma\rho^{\gamma-1}(\rho_t\nabla \cdot v + \rho\nabla \cdot v_t + v_t \cdot \nabla\rho + v \cdot \nabla\rho_t)] \cdot h_t \\
&\le Cc_0^{\gamma-\frac{3}{2}}\|\rho_t\|_{L^4}^2\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2} + Cc_0^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}}\|\rho_t\|_{L^3}\|\nabla v\|_{L^6}\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2} + Cc_0^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla v_t\|_{L^2} \\
&+Cc_0^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2}\|v_t\|_{L^6}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^3} + Cc_0^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\rho_t\|_{L^2}\|v\|_{L^\infty}\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2} \\
&\le C(c_0^{2\gamma+1}c_2^4 + \eta^{-1}c_0^{2\gamma} + \|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta\|v_t\|_{H^1}^2\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2}^2) + \frac{1}{20}\|\nabla h_t\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.90}$$

Thirdly, simple calculation and (2.26) lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
&H_5 \le Cc_0^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^3}\|u_t\|_{L^6} \le Cc_0^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^3}(\|u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}) \\
&\le Cc_0^{2\gamma+1}\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2}^2 + Cc_0^{2\gamma+5} + C\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.91}$$

Next, by direct calculation, we know that $\nabla p_t = \gamma(\gamma-1)\rho^{\gamma-2}\rho_t\nabla\rho + \gamma\rho^{\gamma-1}\nabla\rho_t$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
H_6 &\le Cc_0^{\gamma-2}\int|\rho_t||u||\nabla\rho||h_t| + Cc_0^{\gamma-1}\int|u||\nabla\rho_t||h_t| \\
&\le Cc_0^{\gamma-2}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|\rho_t\|_{L^3}\|u\|_{L^2}(\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla h_t\|_{L^2}) \\
&\quad + Cc_0^{\gamma-1}\|u\|_{L^3}\|\nabla\rho_t\|_{L^2}(\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla h_t\|_{L^2}) \\
&\le Cc_0^{7+2\gamma}c_2^2 + C\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{20}\|\nabla h_t\|_{L^2}^2. \qquad (2.92)
\end{aligned}$$

Last, simple calculation yields $|S'_{kt}| \le C|\nabla v||\nabla v_t| + C\rho^{\gamma-1}|\rho_t||\nabla\rho|^2 + C\rho^{\gamma-1}|\nabla\rho_t||\nabla\rho|$, thus

$$\begin{aligned}
H_7 &\le C\int|\nabla v_t||\nabla v||h_t| + Cc_0^{\gamma-1}\int|\rho_t||\nabla\rho|^2|h_t| + Cc_0^{\gamma-1}\int|\nabla\rho_t||\nabla\rho||h_t| \\
&\le Cc_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla v_t\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2} + Cc_0^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}}\|\rho_t\|_{L^6}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^6}^2\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + Cc_0^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\rho_t\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2} \\
&\le C(\eta^{-1}c_0c_3^2 + c_0^{5+2\gamma}c_2^2 + \eta\|\nabla v_t\|_{L^2}^2\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2}^2). \qquad (2.93)
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we easily have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|h\|_{L^2}^2 \le Cc_0\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|h\|_{L^2}^2, \qquad (2.94)$$

and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\nabla h\|_{L^2}^2 \le C\|\nabla h\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{10}\|\nabla h_t\|_{L^2}^2. \qquad (2.95)$$

Consequently, combining (2.85)-(2.95), one deduces

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h\|_{H^1}^2) + \|\nabla h_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\le C(c_0^{2\gamma+1}c_2^4 + \eta^{-1}c_0^{2\gamma+1}c_1^2 + \eta\|v_t\|_{H^1}^2)(\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h\|_{H^1}^2) \\
&\quad + C(c_0^{7+2\gamma}c_2^4 + \eta^{-1}c_0^{8+2\gamma}c_2^4c_3^2), \qquad (2.96)
\end{aligned}$$

setting $\eta = c_1^{-1}$ and using Gronwall's inequality, we get

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h\|_{H^1}^2 + \int_0^t\|\nabla h_t\|_{L^2}^2\mathrm{d}s \le Cc_0^5 \qquad (2.97)$$

for $0 \le t \le T$, where we have used the fact that $\lim_{t\to 0}(\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h\|_{H^1}^2) \le Cc_0^5$.

Next, using (2.97) and the standard elliptic regularity result of equation (2.4), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\nabla h\|_{H^1} \le C(c_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2} + c_0\|v\|_{L^6}\|\nabla h\|_{L^3} + c_0^{\gamma-1}\|\rho_t\|_{L^2} + c_0^{\gamma-1}\|u\|_{L^6}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^3} \\
&+\|\nabla v\|_{L^4}^2 + c_0^{\gamma-1}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^4}^2) \le Cc_0^{\frac{5}{2}+\gamma}c_2^2 + Cc_0c_1\|\nabla h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla h\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad (2.98)
\end{aligned}$$

then, Young's inequality and (2.97) yields

$$\|h\|_{H^2} \le Cc_0^{\frac{7}{2}+\gamma}c_1^2c_2^2.$$

Thus, we have finished the proof of Lemma 2.5. □

Next, let us define c_i $(i = 1, \cdots, 6)$ as follows:

$$c_1 = Cc_0^{7+2\gamma}, c_2 = Cc_0^{\frac{5}{2}+3\gamma} c_1^2, c_5 = Cc_0^{\frac{7}{2}} c_1 c_2^2, c_6 = Cc_0^{\frac{9}{2}} c_1^2 c_2^2, c_3 = Cc_0^{\frac{13}{2}+3\gamma} c_1^4 c_2 c_5, c_4 = Cc_0^{9+6\gamma} c_1^5 c_2^2,$$

then we conclude from Lemma 2.1 to Lemma 2.5 that

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{0\le t\le T}(\|u\|_{H^1} + \|k\|_{H^1} + \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}) \\ + \int_0^T (\|k\|_{H^3}^2 + \|u_t\|_{H^1}^2 + \|k_t\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\varepsilon_t\|_{H^1}^2) \mathrm{d}t \le c_1, \\ \sup_{0\le t\le T} \|u\|_{H^2} \le c_2, \sup_{0\le t\le T} \|u\|_{H^3} \le c_3, \int_0^T \|u\|_{H^4}^2 \mathrm{d}t \le c_4, \\ \sup_{0\le t\le T} \|k\|_{H^2} \le c_5, \sup_{0\le t\le T} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^2} \le c_6 \end{cases} \tag{2.99}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \|\rho\|_{H^3(\Omega)} \le Cc_0, \ \|\rho_t\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le Cc_0 c_2 \\ \|\sqrt{\rho} h_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h\|_{H^1}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla h_t\|_{L^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s \le Cc_0^5, \\ \|h\|_{H^2} \le Cc_0^{\frac{7}{2}+\gamma} c_1^2 c_2^2 \end{cases} \tag{2.100}$$

for $0 \le t \le T$.

Using standard proof as that in [5], we can complete the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

3 Existence of strong solutions to the $k - \varepsilon$ equations

Theorem 3.1. *There exists a small time $T^* > 0$ and a unique strong solution $(\rho, u, h, k, \varepsilon)$ to the initial boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.10) such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho \in C(0, T^*; H^3), \rho_t \in C(0, T^*; H^1), u \in C(0, T^*; H^3) \cap L^2(0, T^*; H^4), \\ &u_t \in L^2(0, T^*; H^1), k \in C(0, T^*; H^2) \cap L^2(0, T^*; H^3), k_t \in L^2(0, T^*; H^1), \\ &\varepsilon \in C(0, T^*; H^2), \varepsilon_t \in L^2(0, T^*; H^1), h \in C(0, T^*; H^2), h_t \in L^2(0, T^*; H^1), \\ &(\sqrt{\rho} u_t, \sqrt{\rho} k_t, \sqrt{\rho} \varepsilon_t, \sqrt{\rho} h_t) \in L^\infty(0, T^*; L^2). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Our proof will be based on the iteration argument and on the results in the last section (especially Theorem 2.1).

Firstly, using the regularity effect of classical heat equation, we can construct functions $(u^0 = u^0(x,t), k^0 = k^0(x,t), \varepsilon^0 = \varepsilon^0(x,t))$ satisfying $(u^0(x,0), k^0(x,0), \varepsilon^0(x,0)) = (u_0(x), k_0(x), \varepsilon_0(x))$ and

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{0\le t\le T}(\|u^0\|_{H^1} + \|k^0\|_{H^1} + \|\varepsilon^0\|_{H^1}) \\ + \int_0^T (\|k^0\|_{H^3}^2 + \|u_t^0\|_{H^1}^2 + \|k_t^0\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\varepsilon_t^0\|_{H^1}^2) \mathrm{d}t \le c_1, \\ \sup_{0\le t\le T} \|u^0\|_{H^2} \le c_2, \ \sup_{0\le t\le T} \|u^0\|_{H^3} \le c_3, \ \int_0^T \|u^0\|_{H^4}^2 \mathrm{d}t \le c_4, \\ \sup_{0\le t\le T} \|k^0\|_{H^2} \le c_5, \ \sup_{0\le t\le T} \|\varepsilon^0\|_{H^2} \le c_6. \end{cases}$$

Therefore it follows from Theorem 2.1 that there exists a unique strong solution $(\rho^1, u^1, h^1, k^1, \varepsilon^1)$ to the linearized problem (2.2)-(2.6) with v, π, θ replaced by u^0, k^0, ε^0, respectively, which satisfies the regularity estimates (2.99) and (2.100). Similarly, we construct approximate solutions $(\rho^n, u^n, h^n, k^n, \varepsilon^n)$, inductively, as follows: assuming that $u^{n-1}, k^{n-1}, \varepsilon^{n-1}$ have been defined for $n \ge 1$, let $(\rho^n, u^n, h^n, k^n, \varepsilon^n)$ be the unique solution to the linearized problem (2.2)-(2.6) with v, π, θ replaced by $u^{n-1}, k^{n-1}, \varepsilon^{n-1}$, respectively. Then it follows from Theorem 2.1 that there exists a constant $\widetilde{C} > 1$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0\le t\le T}(\|\rho^n\|_{H^3}+\|\rho^n_t\|_{H^1})+\sup_{0\le t\le T}(\|u^n\|_{H^3}+\|k^n\|_{H^2}+\|\varepsilon^n\|_{H^2}+\|h^n\|_{H^2})\\
&+\sup_{0\le t\le T}(\|\sqrt{\rho^n}u^n_t\|_{L^2}+\|\sqrt{\rho^n}h^n_t\|_{L^2}+\|\sqrt{\rho^n}k^n_t\|_{L^2}+\|\sqrt{\rho^n}\varepsilon^n_t\|_{L^2})\\
&+\int_0^T(\|u^n_t\|^2_{H^1}+\|h^n_t\|^2_{H^1}+\|k^n_t\|^2_{H^1}+\|\varepsilon^n_t\|^2_{H^1}+\|u^n\|^2_{H^4}+\|k^n\|^2_{H^3})\le\widetilde{C} \qquad (3.2)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Throughout the proof, we denote by $\widetilde{C}$ a generic constant depending only on m, γ, $|\Omega|$ and c_0, but independent of n. Next, we will show that the full sequence $(\rho^n, u^n, h^n, k^n, \varepsilon^n)$ converges to a solution to the original nonlinear problem (1.1)-(1.10) in the strong sense.

Define $\overline{\rho}^{n+1} = \rho^{n+1} - \rho^n$, $\overline{u}^{n+1} = u^{n+1} - u^n$, $\overline{h}^{n+1} = h^{n+1} - h^n$, $\overline{k}^{n+1} = k^{n+1} - k^n$, $\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1} = \varepsilon^{n+1} - \varepsilon^n$, $\overline{p}^{n+1} = p^{n+1} - p^n = (\rho^{n+1})^\gamma - (\rho^n)^\gamma$.

Then, by equations (2.2)-(2.6), we deduce that $(\overline{\rho}^{n+1}, \overline{u}^{n+1}, \overline{h}^{n+1}, \overline{k}^{n+1}, \overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}, \overline{p}^{n+1})$ satisfy the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\overline{\rho}^{n+1}_t+\nabla\cdot(\overline{\rho}^{n+1}u^n+\rho^n\overline{u}^n)=0, &(3.3)\\
&\rho^{n+1}\overline{u}^{n+1}_t+\overline{\rho}^{n+1}u^n_t+\rho^{n+1}u^n\cdot\nabla\overline{u}^{n+1}+\overline{\rho}^{n+1}u^n\cdot\nabla u^n+\rho^n\overline{u}^n\cdot\nabla u^n\\
&-\Delta\overline{u}^{n+1}-\nabla(\nabla\cdot\overline{u}^{n+1})+\nabla\overline{p}^{n+1}=\frac{-2}{3}\nabla(\overline{\rho}^{n+1}k^n+\rho^n\overline{k}^n), &(3.4)\\
&\rho^{n+1}\overline{h}^{n+1}_t+\overline{\rho}^{n+1}h^n_t+\rho^{n+1}u^n\cdot\nabla\overline{h}^{n+1}+\overline{\rho}^{n+1}u^n\cdot\nabla h^n+\rho^n\overline{u}^n\cdot\nabla h^n\\
&-\Delta\overline{h}^{n+1}=\overline{p}^{n+1}_t+\overline{u}^{n+1}\cdot\nabla p^{n+1}+u^n\cdot\nabla\overline{p}^{n+1}+S'_{k,n+1}-S'_{k,n}, &(3.5)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\rho^{n+1}\overline{k}^{n+1}_t+\overline{\rho}^{n+1}k^n_t+\rho^{n+1}u^n\cdot\nabla\overline{k}^{n+1}+\overline{\rho}^{n+1}u^n\cdot\nabla k^n+\rho^n\overline{u}^n\cdot\nabla k^n\\
&-\Delta\overline{k}^{n+1}=G'_{n+1}-G'_n-(\rho^{n+1}\varepsilon^n-\rho^n\varepsilon^{n-1}), &(3.6)\\
&\rho^{n+1}\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}_t+\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\varepsilon^n_t+\rho^{n+1}u^n\cdot\nabla\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}+\overline{\rho}^{n+1}u^n\cdot\nabla\varepsilon^n+\rho^n\overline{u}^n\cdot\nabla\varepsilon^n\\
&-\Delta\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}=C_1\left(\frac{G'_{n+1}\varepsilon^n}{k^n}-\frac{G'_n\varepsilon^{n-1}}{k^{n-1}}\right)-C_2\left(\frac{\rho^{n+1}(\varepsilon^n)^2}{k^n}-\frac{\rho^n(\varepsilon^{n-1})^2}{k^{n-1}}\right), &(3.7)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
S'_{k,n+1}=[\mu(\partial_j u^n_i+\partial_i u^n_j)-\frac{2}{3}\delta_{ij}\mu\partial_k u^n_k]\partial_j u^n_i+\frac{\mu_t}{(\rho^{n+1})^2}\partial_j p^{n+1}\partial_j\rho^{n+1}, \qquad (3.8)
$$

$$
G'_{n+1}=\partial_j u^n_i[\mu_e(\partial_j u^n_i+\partial_i u^n_j)-\frac{2}{3}\delta_{ij}(\rho^{n+1}k^n+\mu_e\partial_l u^n_l)]. \qquad (3.9)
$$

To evaluate $\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}$, multiplying both sides of equation (3.3) by $\overline{\rho}^{n+1}$ and integrating the result over Ω, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|^2_{L^2}&=-\int\nabla\cdot(\overline{\rho}^{n+1}u^n+\rho^n\overline{u}^n)\cdot\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\\
&=-\int(\overline{\rho}^{n+1})^2\nabla\cdot u^n+\overline{\rho}^{n+1}u^n\cdot\nabla\overline{\rho}^{n+1}+\rho^n\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\nabla\cdot\overline{u}^n+\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\overline{u}^n\cdot\nabla\rho^n. \qquad (3.10)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying integration by parts to the second term of the second equality of (3.10) and using Hölder, Sobolev and Young's inequalities yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|^2_{L^2}&\le C(\|\nabla u^n\|_{L^\infty}\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|^2_{L^2}+\|\nabla\overline{u}^n\|_{L^2}\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}+\|\overline{u}^n\|_{L^6}\|\nabla\rho^n\|_{L^3}\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2})\\
&\le\widetilde{C}(1+\eta^{-1})\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|^2_{L^2}+\widetilde{C}\eta\|\nabla\overline{u}^n\|^2_{H^1}, \qquad (3.11)
\end{aligned}
$$

where (3.2) has been used and $0 < \eta < 1$ is a small constant to be determined later.

Next, multiplying both sides of (3.4) by $\overline{u}^{n+1}$ and integrating the result thus derived over Ω, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\cdot\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&= -\int \overline{\rho}^{n+1}u_t^n\cdot\overline{u}^{n+1} - \int \overline{\rho}^{n+1}u^n\cdot\nabla u^n\cdot\overline{u}^{n+1} - \int \rho^n\overline{u}^n\cdot\nabla u^n\cdot\overline{u}^{n+1} - \int \nabla\overline{p}^{n+1}\cdot\overline{u}^{n+1} \\
&+ \int \frac{-2}{3}\nabla(\overline{\rho}^{n+1}k^n + \rho^n\overline{k}^{n+1})\cdot\overline{u}^{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{5} L_i.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

Using Hölder, Sobolev and Young's inequalities and (3.2), we estimate L_1, L_2 and L_3, respectively, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1 &\le C\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}\|u_t^n\|_{L^3}\|\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^6} \le C\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}\|u_t^n\|_{L^3}(\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}) \\
&\le \widetilde{C}\|u_t^n\|_{L^3}^2\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \widetilde{C}\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{8}\|\nabla\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}\tag{3.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L_2 &\le C\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}\|u^n\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u^n\|_{L^6}\|\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^6} \\
&\le \widetilde{C}\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \widetilde{C}\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{8}\|\nabla\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}\tag{3.14}$$

$$L_3 \le C\|\overline{u}^n\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u^n\|_{L^3}\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^2} \le \widetilde{C}\eta^{-1}\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta\|\overline{u}^n\|_{H^1}^2.\tag{3.15}$$

And then, one deduces by integration by parts that

$$L_4 = \int \overline{p}^{n+1}\nabla\cdot\overline{u}^{n+1} \le C\int \overline{\rho}^{n+1}\nabla\cdot\overline{u}^{n+1} \le \widetilde{C}\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{8}\|\nabla\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2,\tag{3.16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
L_5 &= \frac{2}{3}\int \overline{\rho}^{n+1}k^n\nabla\cdot\overline{u}^{n+1} - \overline{k}^n\nabla\rho^n\cdot\overline{u}^{n+1} - \rho^n\nabla\overline{k}^n\cdot\overline{u}^{n+1} \\
&\le C\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^2} + C\|\overline{k}^n\|_{L^6}\|\nabla\rho^n\|_{L^3}\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla\overline{k}^n\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^2} \\
&\le \widetilde{C}(1+\eta^{-1})(\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2) + \frac{1}{8}\|\nabla\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \widetilde{C}\eta\|\overline{k}^n\|_{H^1}^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.17}$$

Inserting (3.13)-(3.17) to (3.12) and using inequality $\|\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^2} \le \widetilde{C}\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{H^1}^2 \\
&\le \widetilde{C}(1+\eta^{-1}+\|u_t^n\|_{L^3}^2)(\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2) + \widetilde{C}\eta\|\overline{k}^n\|_{H^1}^2 + \widetilde{C}\eta\|\overline{u}^n\|_{H^1}^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.18}$$

Then, multiplying both sides of (3.5) by $\overline{h}^{n+1}$ and integrating the result thus got over Ω, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{h}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\overline{h}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&= -\int \overline{\rho}^{n+1}h_t^n\cdot\overline{h}^{n+1} - \int \overline{\rho}^{n+1}u^n\cdot\nabla h^n\cdot\overline{h}^{n+1} - \int \rho^n\overline{u}^n\cdot\nabla h^n\cdot\overline{h}^{n+1} \\
&+ \int (\overline{p}_t^{n+1} + \overline{u}^{n+1}\cdot\nabla p^{n+1} + u^n\cdot\nabla\overline{p}^{n+1})\cdot\overline{h}^{n+1} + \int (S_{k,n+1}^{'} - S_{k,n}^{'})\cdot\overline{h}^{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{5} M_i.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.19}$$

First, using similar method of deriving (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15), respectively, one easily obtains

$$M_1 \le \widetilde{C}\|h_t^n\|_{L^3}^2\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \widetilde{C}\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{h}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{20}\|\nabla\overline{h}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{3.20}$$

$$M_2 \le \widetilde{C}\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \widetilde{C}\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{h}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{20}\|\nabla\overline{h}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{3.21}$$

$$M_3 \le \widetilde{C}\eta^{-1}\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{h}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta\|\overline{u}^n\|_{H^1}^2. \tag{3.22}$$

Second, simple calculation leads to

$$\begin{aligned} M_4 = &\int [\gamma(\rho^{n+1})^{\gamma-1}\rho_t^{n+1} - \gamma(\rho^n)^{\gamma-1}\rho_t^n]\cdot\overline{h}^{n+1} + \int \overline{u}^{n+1}\cdot\nabla p^{n+1}\overline{h}^{n+1} \\ &+ \int u^n\cdot\nabla\overline{p}^{n+1}\overline{h}^{n+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

By the differential mean value theorem, the first integral of (3.23) can be controlled as

$$\begin{aligned} &\int [\gamma(\rho^{n+1})^{\gamma-1}\rho_t^{n+1} - \gamma(\rho^n)^{\gamma-1}\rho_t^n]\cdot\overline{h}^{n+1} \\ &\le C\int |\overline{\rho}^{n+1}||\rho_t^{n+1}||\overline{h}^{n+1}| + \int \gamma(\rho^n)^{\gamma-1}\overline{\rho}_t^{n+1}\cdot\overline{h}^{n+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

By equation (3.3), the second integral on the right hand side of (3.24) can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \gamma(\rho^n)^{\gamma-1}\overline{\rho}_t^{n+1}\cdot\overline{h}^{n+1} = -\int \gamma(\rho^n)^{\gamma-1}\nabla\cdot(\overline{\rho}^{n+1}u^n + \rho^n\overline{u}^n)\cdot\overline{h}^{n+1} \\ &\le C\int |\nabla\rho^n||\overline{h}^{n+1}||\overline{\rho}^{n+1}||u^n| + C\int |\overline{\rho}^{n+1}||u^n||\nabla\overline{h}^{n+1}| \\ &+C\int (|\nabla\rho^n||\overline{u}^n| + |\rho^n||\nabla\overline{u}^n|)|\overline{h}^{n+1}|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Then, the second integral on the right hand side of (3.23) can be controlled as

$$\int \overline{u}^{n+1}\cdot\nabla p^{n+1}\overline{h}^{n+1} \le C\int |\overline{u}^{n+1}||\nabla\rho^{n+1}||\overline{h}^{n+1}|. \tag{3.26}$$

Next, applying integration by parts to the third integral on the right hand side of (3.23), we easily get

$$\int u^n\cdot\nabla\overline{p}^{n+1}\overline{h}^{n+1} \le C\int |\nabla u^n||\overline{\rho}^{n+1}||\overline{h}^{n+1}| + C\int |u^n||\overline{\rho}^{n+1}||\nabla\overline{h}^{n+1}|. \tag{3.27}$$

Consequently, combining (3.23)-(3.27) and using Hölder, Sobolev and Young's inequalities and (3.2), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} M_4 \le &\widetilde{C}(1+\eta^{-1})(\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{h}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &+\frac{1}{4}\|\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{1}{20}\|\nabla\overline{h}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \widetilde{C}\eta\|\overline{u}^n\|_{H^1}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Finally, we evaluate M_5. Direct calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
M_5 &\le C\int(|\nabla u^n|+|\nabla u^{n-1}|)|\nabla\overline{u}^n||\overline{h}^{n+1}|+C\int|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}||\nabla\rho^{n+1}|^2|\overline{h}^{n+1}|\\
&+\int\frac{\mu_t}{(\rho^n)^2}\partial_j\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\partial_j\rho^{n+1}\cdot\overline{h}^{n+1}+\int\frac{\mu_t}{(\rho^n)^2}\partial_j p^n\partial_j\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\cdot\overline{h}^{n+1}\\
&\le C\int(|\nabla u^n|+|\nabla u^{n-1}|)|\nabla\overline{u}^n||\overline{h}^{n+1}|+C\int|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}||\nabla\rho^{n+1}|^2|\overline{h}^{n+1}|\\
&+C\int|\nabla\rho^n||\nabla\rho^{n+1}||\overline{\rho}^{n+1}||\overline{h}^{n+1}|+C\int|\nabla^2\rho^{n+1}||\overline{\rho}^{n+1}||\overline{h}^{n+1}|\\
&+C\int|\nabla\rho^{n+1}||\overline{\rho}^{n+1}||\nabla\overline{h}^{n+1}|+C\int|\nabla\rho^n|^2|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}||\overline{h}^{n+1}|\\
&+C\int|\nabla^2\rho^n||\overline{\rho}^{n+1}||\overline{h}^{n+1}|+C\int|\nabla\rho^n||\overline{\rho}^{n+1}||\nabla\overline{h}^{n+1}|. \qquad (3.29)
\end{aligned}$$

Then, applying similar method of deriving (3.28), one deduces

$$M_5\le\widetilde{C}(1+\eta^{-1})(\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{h}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2)+\eta\|\overline{u}^n\|_{H^1}^2+\frac{1}{20}\|\nabla\overline{h}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2. \qquad (3.30)$$

Consequently, inserting (3.20)-(3.22), (3.28) and (3.30) to (3.19), one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{h}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\overline{h}^{n+1}\|_{H^1}^2\\
&\le\widetilde{C}(1+\eta^{-1}+\|h_t^n\|_{L^3}^2)(\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{h}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2)\\
&+\frac{1}{4}\|\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{H^1}^2+\tilde{C}\eta\|\overline{u}^n\|_{H^1}^2. \qquad (3.31)
\end{aligned}$$

For the turbulent kinetic energy k, using similar method of deriving (3.19), one easily deduces from equation (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{k}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\overline{k}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2=-\int\overline{\rho}^{n+1}k_t^n\cdot\overline{k}^{n+1}-\int\overline{\rho}^{n+1}u^n\cdot\nabla k^n\cdot\overline{k}^{n+1} \qquad (3.32)\\
&-\int\rho^n\overline{u}^n\cdot\nabla k^n\cdot\overline{k}^{n+1}+\int(G_{n+1}^{'}-G_n^{'})\cdot\overline{k}^{n+1}-\int(\rho^{n+1}\varepsilon^n-\rho^n\varepsilon^{n-1})\cdot\overline{k}^{n+1}=\sum_{i=1}^{5}N_i.
\end{aligned}$$

We first evaluate N_4. Using inserting items technic, one easily gets

$$\begin{aligned}
N_4&\le C\int(|\nabla u^n|+|\nabla u^{n-1}|)|\nabla\overline{u}^n||\overline{k}^{n+1}|\\
&+C\int(|\nabla\overline{u}^n|+|\nabla u^{n-1}||\overline{\rho}^{n+1}|+|\nabla u^{n-1}||\overline{k}^n|)|\overline{k}^{n+1}|. \qquad (3.33)
\end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder, Sobolev, and Young's inequalities and (3.2), we have

$$N_4\le\widetilde{C}(1+\eta^{-1})(\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{k}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2)+\widetilde{C}\eta\|\overline{k}^n\|_{H^1}^2+\widetilde{C}\eta\|\overline{u}^n\|_{H^1}^2. \qquad (3.34)$$

Second, we estimate N_5. Using similar method of deriving (3.33) and (3.34), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&N_5=\int(\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\varepsilon^n+\rho^n\overline{\varepsilon}^n)\cdot\overline{k}^{n+1}\le C(\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}\|\varepsilon^n\|_{L^\infty}+\|\overline{\varepsilon}^n\|_{L^6}\|\rho^n\|_{L^3})\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{k}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}\\
&\le\widetilde{C}(1+\eta^{-1})(\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{k}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2)+\widetilde{C}\eta\|\overline{\varepsilon}^n\|_{H^1}^2. \qquad (3.35)
\end{aligned}$$

Next, using similar method of deriving the estimates of (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15), respectively, one easily gets

$$N_1 \leq \widetilde{C}\|k_t^n\|_{L^3}^2\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \widetilde{C}\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{k}^{n+1}\|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{8}\|\nabla\overline{k}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{3.36}$$

$$N_2 \leq \widetilde{C}\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \widetilde{C}\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{k}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{8}\|\nabla\overline{k}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{3.37}$$

$$N_3 \leq \widetilde{C}\eta^{-1}\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{k}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta\|\overline{u}^n\|_{H^1}^2. \tag{3.38}$$

Consequently, inserting (3.34)-(3.38) to (3.32), one deduces

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{k}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\overline{k}^{n+1}\|_{H^1}^2 \qquad (3.39)\\
&\leq \widetilde{C}(1+\eta^{-1}+\|k_t^n\|_{L^3}^2)(\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{k}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2) + \widetilde{C}\eta(\|\overline{k}^n\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{u}^n\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{\varepsilon}^n\|_{H^1}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, multiplying both sides of (3.7) by $\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}$ and integrating the result over Ω, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 = -\int \overline{\rho}^{n+1}\varepsilon_t^n\cdot\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1} - \int \overline{\rho}^{n+1}u^n\cdot\nabla\varepsilon^n\cdot\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}\\
&-\int \rho^n\overline{u}^n\cdot\nabla\varepsilon^n\cdot\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1} + C_1\int\left(\frac{G'_{n+1}\varepsilon^n}{k^n} - \frac{G'_n\varepsilon^{n-1}}{k^{n-1}}\right)\cdot\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}\\
&-C_2\int\left[\frac{\rho^{n+1}(\varepsilon^n)^2}{k^n} - \frac{\rho^n(\varepsilon^{n-1})^2}{k^{n-1}}\right]\cdot\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{5}Q_i.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

Using an argument similar to that used in deriving (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15), respectively, we obtain

$$Q_1 \leq \widetilde{C}\|\varepsilon_t^n\|_{L^3}^2\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \widetilde{C}\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{8}\|\nabla\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{3.41}$$

$$Q_2 \leq \widetilde{C}\|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \widetilde{C}\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{8}\|\nabla\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{3.42}$$

$$Q_3 \leq \widetilde{C}\eta^{-1}\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \widetilde{C}\eta\|\overline{u}^n\|_{H^1}^2. \tag{3.43}$$

Next, direct calculation leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
&Q_4 \leq C\int(|\nabla\overline{u}^n||\nabla u^n| + |\nabla\overline{u}^n||\nabla u^{n-1}|)|\varepsilon^n||\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}| + C\int(|\overline{\varepsilon}^n| + |\varepsilon^{n-1}||\overline{k}^n|)|\nabla u^{n-1}|^2|\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}|\\
&-\frac{2C_1}{3}\delta_{ij}\int\frac{(\partial_j u_i^n\rho^{n+1}k^n\varepsilon^n k^{n-1} - \partial_j u_i^{n-1}\rho^n k^{n-1}\varepsilon^{n-1}k^n)}{k^n k^{n-1}}\cdot\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}\\
&\leq \int(|\nabla\overline{u}^n||\nabla u^n| + |\nabla\overline{u}^n||\nabla u^{n-1}|)|\varepsilon^n||\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}|\\
&+C\int(|\overline{\varepsilon}^n| + |\varepsilon^{n-1}||\overline{k}^n|)|\nabla u^{n-1}|^2|\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}|\\
&+C\int(|\nabla\overline{u}^n| + |\nabla u^{n-1}||\overline{\rho}^{n+1}| + |\nabla u^{n-1}||\overline{k}^n|)|\varepsilon^n||\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}|\\
&+C\int(|\overline{\varepsilon}^n| + |\varepsilon^{n-1}||\overline{k}^n|)|\nabla u^{n-1}||\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}| \qquad (3.44)\\
&\leq \widetilde{C}(1+\eta^{-1})(\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2)\\
&+\widetilde{C}\eta(\|\overline{u}^n\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{k}^n\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{\varepsilon}^n\|_{H^1}^2) + \frac{1}{8}\|\nabla\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, using similar method in deriving the estimate of Q_4, one deduces

$$Q_5 \le \widetilde{C}(1+\eta^{-1})(\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2) + \widetilde{C}\eta\|\nabla\overline{\varepsilon}^{n}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{8}\|\nabla\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.45)$$

Consequently, inserting (3.41)-(3.45) to (3.40), one derives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}\|_{H^1}^2 && (3.46)\\
&\le \widetilde{C}(1+\eta^{-1}+\|\varepsilon_t^n\|_{L^3}^2)(\|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2) + \widetilde{C}\eta(\|\overline{k}^n\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{u}^n\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{\varepsilon}^n\|_{H^1}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

In the end, combining (3.11), (3.18), (3.31), (3.39) and (3.46) and setting $\varphi^{n+1}(t) = \|\overline{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{h}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{k}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho^{n+1}}\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\varphi^{n+1}(t) + \|\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{h}^{n+1}\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{k}^{n+1}\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}\|_{H^1}^2 && (3.47)\\
&\le \widetilde{C}(1+\eta^{-1}+\|u_t^n\|_{L^3}^2 + \|h_t^n\|_{L^3}^2 + \|k_t^n\|_{L^3}^2 + \|\varepsilon_t^n\|_{L^3}^2)\varphi^{n+1}(t)\\
&+\widetilde{C}\eta(\|\overline{u}^n\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{k}^n\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{\varepsilon}^n\|_{H^1}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $I_\eta^n(t) = \widetilde{C}(1+\eta^{-1}+\|u_t^n\|_{L^3}^2 + \|h_t^n\|_{L^3}^2 + \|k_t^n\|_{L^3}^2 + \|\varepsilon_t^n\|_{L^3}^2)$ and applying Gronwall's inequality to (3.47) yield

$$\varphi^{n+1}(t) \le \widetilde{C}\eta\left[\exp\left(\int_0^t I_\eta^n(s)\mathrm{d}s\right)\right]\left(\int_0^t (\|\overline{u}^n\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{k}^n\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{\varepsilon}^n\|_{H^1}^2)\mathrm{d}s\right), \quad (3.48)$$

where it should be noted that $\varphi^{n+1}(0) = 0$.

Since

$$\int_0^t I_\eta^n(s)\mathrm{d}s \le \widetilde{C}t + \widetilde{C}\eta^{-1}t + \widetilde{C}, \quad (3.49)$$

setting $\widetilde{T} \le \eta < 1$, then we have

$$\int_0^t I_\eta^n(s)\mathrm{d}s \le C\widetilde{C} \quad (3.50)$$

for $t \le \widetilde{T}$.

By (3.48)-(3.50), integrating (3.47) from $[0,t]$, one derives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi^{n+1}(t) + \int_0^t (\|\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{h}^{n+1}\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{k}^{n+1}\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}\|_{H^1}^2)\mathrm{d}s\\
&\le C\widetilde{C}\eta\left(\int_0^t (\|\overline{u}^n\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{k}^n\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{\varepsilon}^n\|_{H^1}^2)\mathrm{d}s\right)\left[\left(\int_0^t I_\eta^n(s)\mathrm{d}s\right)\exp\left(\int_0^t I_\eta^n(s)\mathrm{d}s\right) + 1\right]\\
&\le C\eta\exp(\widetilde{C})\int_0^t (\|\overline{u}^n\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{k}^n\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{\varepsilon}^n\|_{H^1}^2)\mathrm{d}s && (3.51)
\end{aligned}$$

for $T^* := min\{T, \widetilde{T}\}$.

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} sup_{0\le t\le T}\varphi^{n+1}(t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_0^t (\|\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{h}^{n+1}\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{k}^{n+1}\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}\|_{H^1}^2)\mathrm{d}s\\
&\le C\eta\exp(\widetilde{C})\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_0^t (\|\overline{u}^n\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{k}^n\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{\varepsilon}^n\|_{H^1}^2)\mathrm{d}s. && (3.52)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, choosing η such that $C\eta\exp(\widetilde{C}) \le \frac{1}{2}$, one deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} sup_{0\le t\le T}\varphi^{n+1}(t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_0^t \|\overline{h}^{n+1}\|_{H^1}^2 \mathrm{d}s \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_0^t (\|\overline{u}^{n+1}\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{k}^{n+1}\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\overline{\varepsilon}^{n+1}\|_{H^1}^2)\mathrm{d}s \\
&\le C\widetilde{C} < \infty. \qquad (3.53)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude that the full sequence $(\rho^n, u^n, h^n, k^n, \varepsilon^n)$ converges to a limit$(\rho, u, h, k, \varepsilon)$ in the following strong sense: $\rho^n \to \rho$ in $L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$; $(u^n, h^n, k^n, \varepsilon^n) \to (u, h, k, \varepsilon)$ in $L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$. It is easy to prove that the limit $(\rho, u, h, k, \varepsilon)$ is a weak solution to the original nonlinear problem. Furthermore, it follows from (3.2) that $(\rho, u, h, k, \varepsilon)$ satisfies the following regularity estimates:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0\le t\le T^*}(\|\rho\|_{H^3} + \|\rho_t\|_{H^1}) + \sup_{0\le t\le T^*}(\|u\|_{H^3} + \|k\|_{H^2} + \|\varepsilon\|_{H^2} + \|h\|_{H^2}) \\
&+\sup_{0\le t\le T^*}(\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\sqrt{\rho}h_t\|_{L^2} + \|\sqrt{\rho}k_t\|_{L^2} + \|\sqrt{\rho}\varepsilon_t\|_{L^2}) \\
&+\int_0^{T^*}(\|u_t\|_{H^1}^2 + \|h_t\|_{H^1}^2 + \|k_t\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\varepsilon_t\|_{H^1}^2 + \|u\|_{H^4}^2 + \|k\|_{H^3}^2) \le \widetilde{C} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the existence of strong solution. Then, we can easily prove the time continuity of the solution $(\rho, u, h, k, \varepsilon)$ by adapting the arguments in [2, 5]. Finally, we prove the uniqueness. In fact, assume that $(\rho_1, u_1, h_1, k_1, \varepsilon_1)$ and $(\rho_2, u_2, h_2, k_2, \varepsilon_2)$ are two strong solutions to the problem (1.1)-(1.10) with the regularity (3.1). Let $(\overline{\rho}, \overline{u}, \overline{h}, \overline{k}, \overline{\varepsilon}) = (\rho_1-\rho_2, u_1-u_2, h_1-h_2, k_1-k_2, \varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2)$. Then using the same argument as in the derivations of (3.11), (3.18), (3.31), (3.39) and (3.46), we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(\|\overline{\rho}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho_1}\overline{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho_1}\overline{h}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho_1}\overline{k}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho_1}\overline{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\le R(t)(\|\overline{\rho}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho_1}\overline{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho_1}\overline{h}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho_1}\overline{k}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho_1}\overline{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2}^2)
\end{aligned}$$

for some $R(t) \in L^1(0, T^*)$. Thus, by Gronwall's inequality, we conclude that $(\overline{\rho}, \overline{u}, \overline{h}, \overline{k}, \overline{\varepsilon}) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ in $(0, T^*) \times \Omega$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. ☐

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors contributions

The authors contributed equally in this article. They read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The research of B Yuan was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11471103).

References

[1] D. F. Bian, B. L. Guo, Global existence of smooth solutions to the $k-\varepsilon$ model equations for turbulent flows, *Comm. Math. Sci.*, **12** (2014), 707-721.

[2] Y. G. Cho, H. J. Choe, H. S. Kim, Unique solvability of the initial boundary value problems for compressible viscous fluid, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **83** (2004), 243-275.

[3] Y. G. Cho, H. S. Kim, On classical solutions of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with nonnegative initial densities, *Manuscript Math.*, **120** (2006), 91-129.

[4] H. J. Choe, H. S. Kim, Strong solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations for isentropic compressible fluids, *J. Differential Equations*, **190** (2003), 504-523.

[5] H. J. Choe, H. S. Kim, Existence results for viscous polytropic fluids with vacuum, *J. Differential Equations*, **228** (2006), 377-441.

[6] R. J. DiPerna, P. L. Lions, Ordinary differential equations, transport theory and Sobolev spaces, *Invent. Math.*, **98** (1989), 511-547.

[7] E. Feireisl, *Dynamics of Viscous Compressible Fluids*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2004.

[8] E. Feireisl, On the motion of a viscous, compressible, and heat conducting fluid, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **53** (2004), 1705-1738.

[9] J. S. Fan, W. H. Yu, Strong solution to the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with vacuum. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.*, **10** (2009), 392-409.

[10] D. Hoff, Strong convergence to global solutions for multidimensional flows of compressible, viscous fluids with polytropic equations of state and discontinuous initial data, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **132** (1995), 1-14.

[11] X. P. Hu, D. H. Wang, Global solutions to the three-dimensional full compressible magnetohydrodynamic flows, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **283** (2008), 255-284.

[12] X. P. Hu, D. H. Wang, Compactness of weak solutions to the three-dimensional compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations, *J. Differential Equations*, **245** (2008), 2176-2198.

[13] X. P. Hu, D. H. Wang, Global existence and large-time behavior of solutions to the three dimensional equations of compressible magnetohydrodynamic flows, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **197** (2010), 203-238.

[14] P. L. Lions, *Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics*, Vol. 1, Oxford University Press, New York, 1996.

[15] P. L. Lions, *Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics*, Vol. 2, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.

[16] B. E. Launder, D. B. Spalding, *Mathematical Models of Turbulence*, Academic Press, Lodon and New York, 1972.

[17] O. Rozanova, Blow-up of smooth solutions to the barotropic compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with finite mass and energy, *Hyperbolic problems: theory, numerics and applications*, (2009), 911-917.

[18] V. A. Solonnikov, Sovability of the initial boundary value problem for the equation a viscous compressible fluid, *J. Sov. Math.*, **14** (1980), 1120-1133.

[19] R. Salvi, I. Straskraba, Global existence for viscous compressible fluids and their behavior as $t \to \infty$, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.*, **40** (1993), 17-51.

[20] Z. P. Xin, Blow-up of smooth solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with compact density, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **51** (1998), 229-240.